Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

This document is a summarized version of the Board of Commissioners proceedings. The minutes are paraphrased, not verbatim. Access to an electronic audio recording of the meeting is available upon request.

The Honorable Board of Franklin County Commissioners met on the above date. Present for the meeting were Brad Peck, Chairman; Rick Miller, Chair Pro Tem; and Robert E. Koch, Member; Fred Bowen, County Administrator; and Mary Withers, Clerk to the Board. Meeting convened at 9:01 am.

Present in audience: Roger Lenk, James Brumfield, Rich Lahtinen, Ed Thornbrugh, Rick Rochleau, Jim Follansbee and Lester Storms.

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Public Hearing for the purpose of considering the advisability of declaring surplus and putting up for sale a vehicle (ET-6912, 2002 Ford Super Cab 4x4)

Mr. Peck announced the public hearing was not advertised so it will not be held at this time.

#### JAMES BRUMFIELD

James Brumfield met with the Board. Present in audience: Roger Lenk, Rich Lahtinen, Ed Thornbrugh, Rick Rochleau, Jim Follansbee, Lester Storms and a woman. Tri-City Herald Reporter John Trumbo joined the audience at 9:15 am.

James Brumfield told the Board he wanted to report some criminal activity at the Hanford site and explained he has previously talked with other entities including agencies in Benton County, the Federal government, the Pasco Police Department and the Franklin County Prosecutor's Office, as well as the Tri-City Herald. He felt that the Franklin County Commissioners would have a responsibility to report criminal activity even if they do not have jurisdiction. Mr. Peck explained that Franklin County does not have jurisdiction and is aware that his concerns have been addressed in Benton County and Federal Court, therefore there is no action for Franklin County to take in this matter.

#### OFFICE BUSINESS

Administrative Assistant Toni Fulton met with the Board.

## Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

# Consent Agenda

Motion – Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the consent agenda items 1 through 5. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor.

- 1. Approval of Resolution 2012-127, authorizing the Franklin County Sheriff's Office to dispose of surplus property
- 2. Approval of Resolution 2012-128, U.S. Bank National Association ND Certificate of Authority for the purpose of establishing one (1) or more card programs, extending credit and providing related services to Franklin County with U.S. Bank in the United States
- 3. Approval of Joint Resolution 2012-129, Grant Number 12-46108-03 Consolidated Homeless Grant Between Washington State Department of Commerce, Community Services and Housing Division, Housing Assistance Unit, and Benton and Franklin Counties Department of Human Services
- 4. Approval of Resolution 2012-130, Interagency Agreement G1200402 between State of Washington Department of Ecology and Franklin County Public Works Solid Waste Division
- Approval of Resolution 2012-131, Amendment No. 1 to Interlocal Agreement for Provision of Engineering Services between Benton County and Franklin County, Extending Agreement End Date to July 31, 2012, amending Resolution 2012-055

#### Vouchers

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the vouchers audited and certified by the auditing officer. They are for Salary Clearing payroll for \$580,008.43, Emergency Management Payroll for \$12,297.31, and Irrigation payroll for \$11,358.67, for a total payment of \$603,664.41. These are signed by both Matt Beaton and Connie Curiel. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 1)

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Miller moved for approval of fund expenditure vouchers totaling \$413,289.96 signed by both Jeff Burckhard and Julie Jordan. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 2)

#### COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

County Administrator Fred Bowen met with the Board. Present in audience: Rich Lahtinen, Jim Follansbee, Lester Storms, Roger Lenk, John Trumbo and Rick Rochleau.

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

Approval of resolution: Inter-fund Loan of \$100,000

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of the inter fund loan for cash flow purposes until revenues accumulate in the amount of \$100,000 from the 1999 Distressed Cap GO Bonds Fund to the 2012 Criminal Justice Construction Fund and authorizing the auditor to generate a warrant in that amount for this loan. Second by Mr. Miller. 3:0 vote in favor. Resolution 2012-132 was approved.

City of Pasco Application for Special Permit

The Board approved the City of Pasco Application for a Special Permit related to removal of two modular structures and construction/remodel of the Franklin County Corrections Center at a cost of \$480. The Board had **consensus approval** to sign the documents.

Motion – Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve expenditure for special permit for \$480.00. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 3)

Financial Software Purchase - \$1,240,000

Mr. Bowen asked for approval to move forward to bond \$1,240,000 for the financial software purchase. Mr. Peck said a key point is that it costs a huge amount of money for software but the substantial part of the cost is the labor, expertise, system upgrades and training to bring it on board. What we're really paying for is a lot of contractor time to make this happen, something we couldn't begin to do. Mr. Koch said it takes a few minutes to install the software, then 14 months to implement it.

Mr. Peck said as the software purchase was being considered, there was discussion about whether to include a module for the Treasurer's Department and a module for Public Works.

The final number includes both the package for the Treasurer and the package for Public Works.

Mr. Koch asked if the modules for Public Works and the Treasurer will be tied into a package so the Auditor, Treasurer and Public Works offices can read back and forth, or are they individual separate packages? Mr. Peck said yes and no: Yes, it's all software that cooperates and works well together and has been proven to work well together. The Treasurer's package is actually a package from another vendor that the software company SunGard is securing and part of what we're paying them for is to ensure its compatibility. The emphasis has been on what we

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

call interoperability or compatibility between all of these functions. We'll have a software system where everything is integrated.

Mr. Koch said the stand-alone pieces were designed to work together.

Mr. Koch said I think we need to move forward on this. Mr. Miller agreed. Mr. Peck also wants to move forward. Mr. Peck noted that SunGard is a major international company. We are hardly their first customer with this product. They have a great deal of experience.

Mr. Koch thanked the committee that looked into the software and had the foresight to change flow in the middle of the stream so to speak when they found they couldn't agree with the first software they had discussed and moved onto a new one. I think they did diligence in moving forward to what they feel is the best software for the county.

The Board gave consensus approval for Mr. Bowen to move ahead.

Antenna/Satellite removal from Franklin County Annex Building

Mr. Bowen asked for approval of a bid from Legacy Telecommunications company.

<u>Motion</u> - Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the bid for tower and satellite dish removal from the annex building for \$4441.74. The bid documents are attached. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 4)

Auction of Portable Buildings

Present in audience: Rich Lahtinen, Jim Follansbee, Lester Storms, Roger Lenk, John Trumbo, Liz Cupples, Kevin Scott, Marc van den Dikkenberg, Toni Fulton, Rick Rochleau and Gordon Hanscom.

Booker Auction is scheduled to conduct an on-site auction of two portable buildings on May 8, 2012. The Work Release, Information Services and Coroner offices will be relocated for 18 months while the new jail is being constructed. The portable buildings are required to be removed within 30 days of the auction. The county will be responsible for utility disconnects. Mr. Bowen believes the auction will require the buyer to clean up the site and provide a \$2500 bond to cover any additional cleanup costs. Mr. Peck wants the language to include what it means to "clean up the site."

Mr. Peck said he has no reservation about the ability of Booker Auction to handle the auction but wanted the record to state the county is not required to go out for bid.

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

Public Works open position

Shawn Sant joined the audience during the discussion.

Mr. Koch asked for Board discussion about the Public Works Department staffing. He would like to entertain the idea to hire a public works director and have a separate licensed Professional Engineer (PE). He said we've just gone through that with the Health Department and it seems to be working well, separating the positions.

Mr. Miller asked what advantages can you see? Mr. Koch said I think it's a total advantage as far as having an administrator as the director. Then the engineer is there to do the final stamp, the engineering side of Public Works.

Mr. Miller said we need to determine what the costs would be and whether there would be a difference by hiring for another position.

Mr. Peck said a number of issues are in play. When he has heard the notion of a manager and a separate PE presented it always revolved around the general presumption that it's hard to find someone who is a professional manager and also a PE. He said he is not ready to buy into that bias, although he is willing to consider the manager and separate PE arrangement.

He said maybe more on point is if you've got a professional engineer who is absorbed in details of engineering and road projects that even if the person has the ability to do both, there is an element of distraction in there. He also noted there is potential for conflict because the engineer cannot report to the director on engineering matters; the engineer is the final say-so. Mr. Koch agreed.

It was noted that other counties have used this model successfully and that personalities have to be workable to be compatible.

Mr. Koch said Benton County is set up with the two positions, a director and a PE rather than a director/PE and an assistant.

Mr. Peck said the County Road Administration Board (CRAB) is sometimes in a position to provide PE services and also the law allows us to contract with another county for PE services. He asked Mr. Koch if there is an option to be considered for hiring a professional manager and then either contracting with another county or CRAB. Mr. Koch said I don't know that I would

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

suggest that at all. I think we're better off keeping it in house and have a handle on our employees.

Mr. Peck said if we go from a combined professional manager/PE to separating those two areas of expertise, it does seem possible for personnel costs to go up.

Mr. Koch said I don't know that it's going to cost Public Works to separate the two. He does not have the numbers available for both positions now but he said I don't know that the director needs to make what the former PE made, for instance (Mr. Peck agreed) and if the person is a PE, I don't know that he needs to make the same amount of money that a director/PE made, so I honestly don't see a financial burden.

Mr. Peck said I'm certainly open to it. I've talked to other counties that do this. For some, especially mid-size counties, it seems to work well.

Mr. Koch said we need to expedite the process. Mr. Miller agreed.

Mr. Peck said one of the difficulties is that the deputy Public Works director position is already filled so hiring a manager and a PE certainly would drive additional costs until Mr. Walters retires. We may need to find out what he anticipates for a retirement date. Is it plausible that he would serve as interim director?

Mr. Koch said maybe and noted also that the financial administrator is not there anymore. He said it could overlap for 30 to 60 days.

Mr. Peck said I do think we need to move forward with these positions. He said he spoke with the auditor who is working on a proposal for the board as to how he would recommend financial oversight be handled and feels the auditor is leaning toward one centralized audit function. He noted the Board will be the one who makes the decision.

Mr. Koch feels strong enough about it to make a decision now but noted he may have been thinking about it longer than the other two commissioners. He feels having a decision made next week would be appropriate, giving the other two commissioners time to think about it, or if you're comfortable with carrying on, we can start on that process. Mr. Peck said he has been looking at it for awhile. He asked Mr. Bowen for input. Mr. Bowen would recommend separating the two positions.

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

Mr. Peck recommended holding the decision until next week's meeting and discussing it at the same time with Auditor Matt Beaton. He stated Mr. Bowen can move ahead with some initial planning and preparation of position descriptions with the anticipation that that's the way we're going to go. Mr. Koch agreed. Mr. Miller said maybe it is time we look at a proposal like that. It sounds like there has been great success. I just was wondering about costs. It all probably is better in the long run.

Mr. Peck said we will plan for a decision next Wednesday on organization of Public Works Director and Professional Engineer. It looks at this point that we're likely to go with a professional manager and a deputy/PE and at the same time we'll undertake discussion with the auditor about organizing the financial side of the Public Works house.

# Public Records Request

Prosecutor Shawn Sant and Information Services Director Kevin Scott met with the Board. Present in audience: Jim Follansbee, Lester Storms, Roger Lenk, John Trumbo, Rich Lahtinen, Liz Cupples, Marc van den Dikkenberg, Toni Fulton, Rich Rochleau and Gordon Hanscom. Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Janet Taylor was in the room briefly.

Mr. Bowen said the county has received a public records request that involves 84,000 emails. Mr. Sant will be talking with the requestor to find out if the requestor will limit the request and expects to have further information next week. Mr. Peck said the law allows us some cost recovery. He expects we will follow the law and also recover every cost we can. Mr. Miller and Mr. Koch agreed. Mr. Sant said the only cost recovery available he is aware of is the actual cost of printing the documents and/or preparing a CD. There was discussion about complying with the request and about ways information can be given.

#### Adoption of Reserve Fund Policy

Present in audience: Rich Lahtinen, John Trumbo, Roger Lenk, Jim Follansbee and Lester Storms.

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I would move for approval of the adoption of the Franklin County Reserve Fund Policy. Second by Mr. Miller. 3:0 vote in favor. Resolution 2012-133 was approved.

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

#### OTHER BUSINESS

Road Work complaints

Mr. Miller has received complaints about road work affecting farmers' fields. He has some pictures available regarding the most recent complaint. The farmer has planted to the road but not disturbed the road itself. The Road Department came in with equipment when the soil was frozen and stirred up the dirt and threw some clods, sod and dirt into the farmer's field. The problem will be in a few months when tackweed and other weeds grow. The county does not take care of the weeds in a timely manner. As a farmer, he appreciated when farmers would farm up to the road, not disturbing the road base. He said most of the farmers are very good about it. He has discussed this with previous Public Works employees and looked at various sites. The Public Works Department had a problem with some of them and with others, they did not.

Mr. Miller would like to have a discussion with the Public Works Department that when they do grade the areas on the right-of-ways, let the farming be allowable up to the road base. He has received complaints four or five times. He asked for a discussion by the commissioners.

Mr. Miller said he has been against some of the reasons why the county would grade it. If there was a lot of rain, it would cause a problem with the road base, but the water drains easily here.

Mr. Koch said it's a twofold issue. First, supposedly it's done for weed control. Secondly, it is needed to pull the gravel up along the asphalt to keep a smooth edge. I think it's a discussion we're going to have to have with the new regime. I have to agree there are other ways of doing it. It used to be we could drive off a county road anyplace you wanted to. There's no way you can nowadays.

Mr. Miller said his issue is he feels it is doing more damage than it is actually doing preventative work for road repairs. He said when ditches are put in, it causes more of a safety issue also for vehicle rollovers. Mr. Miller would like to see direction given to Public Works that in certain areas there are certain reasons to farm up to the road. That's just my concern.

Mr. Peck said he agrees with Commissioner Koch that it's a topic worth discussing but the timing probably is not good being absent a PW director and a Professional Engineer. Down the road, it is certainly something we can discuss. We will also need to think about liabilities the

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

county could incur. Remember what they say in engineering school that the three worst things for a road are water on it, water beside it, and water under it. Recognizing we only get about eight inches of water a year here, that axiom might not apply so much in our county as it would elsewhere. I agree there are some potential safety issues involved in having a fairly deep ditch called a borrow ditch on the sides of the road. Maybe there is room for some policy improvement but he thinks the discussion may be a ways off.

Mr. Miller said I can agree to discuss it when there is new personnel. He agrees that the new director will probably have a lot to say about this, but at the same time someone is directing road graders to do this work now. He may talk to them now to see what they are doing. He thinks some of the work is unnecessary. It takes a lot of fuel. He gave examples on Glade Road going towards Basin City. Mr. Koch suggested discussing it with Ron Horn and the two road supervisors. Mr. Miller will do so.

#### Other business

Mr. Peck asked if anyone in the audience wanted to speak to the Board.

Lester Storms asked where the \$1,240,000 is coming from for the new software. Mr. Peck said we'll bond it to get the money up front. The money to pay back the bonds will come from a variety of revenue sources, not new taxes. One is likely to be PW itself, partly from revenue on the 3/10ths jail tax revenue because all departments are going to share out of their budgets because they will benefit. He said 1/10<sup>th</sup> of the 3/10ths criminal justice tax revenue is for jail operation and part of the jail operation is the software cost so part of the new tax revenue can be used for it. We're also going to essentially tax the departments within the county, taking a little bit from each department. Mr. Peck summarized that the payback amounts will not be a new tax but taken out of existing revenues.

Mr. Bowen said Mr. Peck is correct. There are some additional funds as well. Some bonds are expiring in 2014 which will free up about \$150,000. Once those are freed up, then we can allocate that to help pay off those bonds.

Mr. Storms said the money for new software was available a few years ago if he remembers correctly and it wasn't used then. He asked whether it was harder to track funds between departments using the existing software. Referring to a recent discovery of

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

embezzlement, Mr. Peck said his opinion is if we had had new software back then, this would have been uncovered much sooner.

Road Work: Borrow Ditches

Jim Follansbee said it is totally inappropriate that we needed borrow ditches. We don't have the rainfall. He thinks Mr. Miller has a really good idea. He would like to see it taken care of while we have a clear shot at it rather than having some new administration come in and say this is the way we've always done it. Mr. Peck said he does not think it's a bad idea but there are more facets to it that need to be considered than are immediately apparent. He noted that the commissioners will have input into the decision.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Motion - Mr. Miller: I move that we adjourn. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor.

Adjourned at 10:27 am.

Commissioners' Proceedings for April 11, 2012

There being no further business, the Franklin County Board of Commissioners meeting was adjourned until April 18, 2012.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FRANKLIN COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Brad Peck, Chairman

Rick Miller, Chairman Pro Tem

Robert E. Koch, Member

Attest:

Clerk to the Board

Approved and signed April 18, 2012.



# FRANKLIN COUNTY AUDITOR

Matt Beaton, Auditor

#### Franklin County Commissioners:

Vouchers audited and certified by the auditing officer by RCW 42.24.080, expense reimbursement claims certified by RCW 42.24.090, have been recorded on a listing, which has been sent to the board members.

Action: As of this date,

April 11, 2012 Rupa

move that the following warrants be approved for payment.

FUND	WARRANT	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Salary Clearing Payroll: Payroll Direct Deposit	55832-55919	160,550.72 341,089.37
Benefits	55920-55924	501,640.09 78,368.34 <b>\$580,008.43</b>
	Total	\$38 <b>0</b> ,006.43
Emergency Mgmt Payroll: Payroll Direct Deposit	64035-64041	\$3,199.41 7,509.09 \$10,708.50
Benefits	64042-64045 <b>Total</b>	1,588.81 <b>\$12,297.31</b>
Irrigation Payroll: Payroll Direct Deposit	64046-64059	\$6,279.46 3,355.05 \$9,634.51
Benefits	64060-64063 <b>Total</b>	1,724.16 <b>\$11,358.67</b>

**Grand Total All Payrolls** 

\$603,664.41

In the total amount of \$603,664.42

(\$580,008.43+\$12,297.31+\$11,358.67)

The motion was seconded by

and passed by a vote of 3 to 0.

The attached payroll has been approved by Auditor or Deputy



# FRANKLIN COUNTY AUDITOR

Matt Beaton, Auditor

4/11/2012

Franklin County Commissioners:

Vouchers audited and certified by the auditing officer by RCW 42.24.080, expense reimbursement claims.

Action: As of this date, 4/11/2012

Move that the following warrants be approved for payment:

certified by RCW 42.24.090, have been recorded on a listing, which has been sent to the board members.

FUND Expenditures	WARR	ANTS	AMOUNT ISSUED		
Current Expense	64196	64265	\$214,537.91		
Current Expense	64266	64312	\$185,373.51		
Election Equipment Revolving	64313	-	\$9.88		
Courthouse Facilitator Program	64314	-	\$990.00		
Jail Commissary	64315	64316	\$1,363.99		
Enhanced 911	64317	-	\$36.72		
Veteran's Assistance	64318	64320	<b>\$1,</b> 198.27		
TRAC Operations Fund	64321	64338	\$9,779.68		

In the amount of

The motion was seconded by

And passed by a vote of

The attached vouchers have been approved by Auditor or Deputy

\$413,289.96



**DocumentView** 

FEE: \$300.00

# CITY OF PASCO APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL PERMIT

(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY) FILE NO.	
The undersigned hereby apply for a special permit:	
Applicant: LCA +PH, LLC	
Applicant's Address: 1221 Shoreline Lane, Boise, Idaho 83702	
Applicant's Phone Numbers: 208-345-6677, 208-830-4223, 208-344-9002 (home/work, cellular, fax)	
Applicant's E-mail address: schristian@lcarch.com	
Property Owner's Name (if different than Applicant): Franklin County, WA.	
*Must have Property Owner's notarized signature on page 3	
General location of property (street address or other description): Franklin County Correction Center located between N. Forth Ave. and N. Fifth Ave	
between Margaret St. and the west Octave Street which is now closed at this	
location.	
Legal description of property (attach separate sheet if necessary): See Attache	d
Lot(s) Block Subdivision	
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THI	. ·
PLANNING COMMISSION WITH A COMPLETE APPLICATION TO REVIEW:	2
1. Present use of the land and structure(s) if any:	
Building addition will be located on the existing parking lot north of the existing	
jail. The existing parking lot currently has two modular structures that are	
being used by County Work Release and the County Coroner, These structures	,
will be removed from the existing parking lot.	
The building addition will extend over the abandoned West Octave Street.	
2. If vacant, check here:	

FEE: \$300.00

3. Please describe any existing violations of any portion of the zoning ordinance upon the property:

4. Give a detailed description of the proposed use that requires a special permit (attach separate sheet if more space is necessary):

A new building addition will be constructed on the north side of the existing jail and extend over the abandoned West Octave Street. The new addition will include a 192 bed jail expansion, including jail support functions. The building will be two stories on the east side facing the existing parking lot and N. Forth AVE... The two story addition will include City of Pasco Courts on the first floor and County Sheriff's Office on the second floor. The building addition will be approx. 55,000 sf.

- 5. A site map/plan, drawn neatly and to scale, showing the following:
  - (a) Exterior property lines and any adjacent public street or alley rights-of-way;
  - (b) Existing and proposed buildings and other structures;
  - (c) Existing and proposed points of ingress and egress, drives, driveways, and circulation pattern;
  - (d) The location of existing and proposed parking areas with each parking space shown;
  - (e) Existing and proposed open spaces and landscape areas.

**NOTE:** Provide a variance report giving a list and mailing address of owners of all property within 300 feet of the applicant's property, as shown by a local title company <u>OR</u> payment of \$80.00 which shall be utilized by the City to obtain a current list of property owners of all properties within 300 feet of the applicant's property.

Fee for Special Permit - \$300.00
Environmental Checklist - \$100.00
Radius Notification - \$80.00
(or provide Variance report in lieu of \$80.00)

FEE: \$300.00

Signature of Applicant

\*Notarized Signature of Property Owner

State of Washington

SS.

County of Franklin

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this \_//\_day of Apri |

,2012.



Many C. Withers

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

Residing at Elopia

My Commission expires 10-29-12

093020-32-SYLVPK-006-0000



SYLVESTERS PARK ADD

**Parcel Details** 112012027 / 2012 Active



		Identification			
Parcel Number: 112012027	Roll Year: 2012	Type: Active		Retired: No	Exempt: Exempt
Assessment Type: Real Property	Description				
Primary Owner FRANKLIN COUNTY	1	ıry Situs: 6 N 4TH AVE		•	•
Legal:			14117111140 1100 0	EDIAL ALL EVO	IN DI L 7 9 TOC

SYLVESTER PARK ADD BLKS 6 & 7, TOG WITH VAC HENRY ST ADJ & TOG WITH VAC N&S & E&W ALLEYS IN BLK 7 & TOG WITH VAC OCTAVE ST ADJ ON N AND TOGETHER WITH LOTS 13 THRU 28, BLK 8 SYLVESTER PARK ADDN AND THE S10' VAC MARIE ST ON THE N & TOG W/VAC ALLEY ADJ Map Number

Secondary Land Code DOR: 00 11 67 - Services - Governmental **Property Class** 

**Total Acres** 200930 WT 7.08

Neighborhood District: 2000 / 2012 101 - DISTRICT 101 SubDivision:

**Quick Value Summary** Exemption Type/Level: Frozen Value: 617,300 617,300 0 Land: **Estimated Exemption Amount:** 30,961,000 30,961,000 0 0 Impr: Non-Senior Amount: Perm Crop: **Exemption Amount:** Remaining Taxable Value:

		-				
	Appra	aisal Summ	ary			
Туре	Description	Value	Roll	Adjustment Value		
Land 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			X			#1431
Land	The second secon		X	617,311	+	617,311
Adjustment 4	🌠 🖟 Tự Lãng Value 1 🚅 🎏 🚎 🕻	<b>M. 70</b>	0.	<b>36</b> 3 2 2 4 9 5 7 200		
Commercial		N IN IN	XI.			30:961-000
Commercial			X	30,961,000	I I	0
Adjustment	14 Improvement Value	Œ	<b>.</b> 🗵 +	961 000 per 1860 (1861 per 1860 per 186		30,961,800
Commercial :			<b>E</b> [X]			A Tho
Commercial			X	0	+	0
Misc Improvements			汉》	3 7 7 7 0 0	<b>#</b> [ ] 7	g ferræ á gett i O

FRANKLIN COUNTY VOUCHER SUMMARY

PAGE 1 4/10/12

EX032 15.16

Washington, do hereby certify that the merchandise or services hereinafter We, the undersigned Board of County Commissioners of Franklin County,

specified have been received and are approved for payment.

VOUCHER VENDOR \*\*\*\*\* CLAIMANT

TOTAL VOUCHERS PAYABLE

AMOUNT 480.00 480.00

.3% CRIMINAL JUSTICE CONST FND

CRIMINAL JUSTICE CONST FND

1 CIT250 CITY OF PASCO

•	•			
TAGE	*KEY	000010		
AA.	* INVOICE NUMBER* VOUCHER * DESCRIPTION / PAYEE* NUMBER	PECIAL PERMIT		
	* DESCRIPTION /	APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL PERMIT		0 COMMENTS
O U N T Y G				O
FRANKLIN COUNTY BUDGET EXPENDITURES EDIT LISTING 4/10/12	DATE	02 4/11/2012	1 VENDORS	1 VENDORS
FRANK BUDGE	GRANT TR C P,O. # D			•
	AMOUNT	480.00	480.00 **	480.00 ***
	NAME	.3% CRIMINAL JUSTICE CONST FND 23 00 0000 480.00 480.00	Ţ	
EX005 BATCH-3A 15.16	VEND # * VENDOR NAME	355-000-001 CIT250 CITY OF PASCO 355-000-001 594,23 00 0000	DEPARTMENT TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL
	5	₽.		

DATE PD	VOUCHER # 1 VENDOR # CIT250	# AMOUNT	480.00	*****	*****		****	*****	FAL + 480.00	SATIONES STONES
IN COUNTY JUSTICE CONST FND D.	<b>&gt;</b> >	PROJECT	**************************************	*****	******	********		****	TOTAL	VARILLO MITANCES
F R A N K L I N C. 3% CRIMINAL JUSTICE	NSCO 19301	INVOICE#/DESCRIPTION	**************************************						***************************************	GNED DO HEREBY CERTIFY UNDER VINY, THE SENTIES HAVE COMMED AS DESCRIBED HEREIN, THE SENTIES HEREIN, THE SENTIES HEREIN, THE IS TOUGT, DUE HEREIN, THE IS TO AUTHENTICATE AND CENTIFY ID TO AUTHENTICATE AND CENTIFY
DATE 4/10/12	TO- CITY OF PASCO PO BOX 491 PASCO WA 99301	DATE	4/11/2012 *	******		*******	*****	* * * * * *	***************************************	AUDITED BY  I, THE UNDERSIGNED DO PERUURY, BEEN FURNISHED, THE LABOR PERFORMED AND THAT THE CLAIM IS AM AUTHORIZED TO AMID CLAIM.



# FRANKLIN COUNTY

# FACILITIES DEPARTMENT

April 11, 2012

TO:

Franklin County Commissioners

Franklin County, Washington

FROM:

Gordon A. Hanscom

**Facilities Director** 

AWARD OF BID: Tower and Satellite dish removal from Annex building

I recommend the bid for tower and satellite removal to Franklin County's Annex building to be awarded to Legacy Telecommunications, Inc. PO Box 127 Ritzville, WA 99169.

Recommended:

Gordon A. Hanscom Facilities Director

Dated this 11th day of April 2012.

Approved:

Chair

Amount \$4,441.74

FAR

Chair Pro Tem

Member

Attest:

They Withers Clerk of the Board

# Franklin County - Annex Building Tower and Satellitie Removal

		Bid 1	Bid Tabulation Sheet	Bid Date: April 2, 2012	7
Bidder	Lic. No./ UBI	Addenda Base Bid		Total With WSST   Awarded	
Day Wireless Systems	7969- Pasco Lic.	~	\$4,810.00	\$5,223.66	
Pro West Contracting, Inc.	602634344-UBI	1	5,450.00	5,918.70	<u> </u>
Legacy Telecommunications, Inc.  9525-04- Pasco Lic.	.  9525-04- Pasco Lic.	_	4,090.00	4,441.74	
Low Quote food   Low Quote food   New tax rate   Quote Opening and Reviewing Received By:	Low Quote for Small New tax rate .086 <b>Received By</b> :	Works Roste   	r turn in was Legad	Low Quote for Small Works Roster turn in was Legacy Telecommunications, Inc. New tax rate .086       eceived By:	

Jennifer Wagner

Gordon Hanscom

Signed:



4700 International Way Milwaukie, OR 97222

Phone:503-659-1240

Fax: 503-794-3774

lindsay@daywireless.com

To: Franklin County

Quote

Quote # 0374-12583-2

Date: 3/20/2012

Expiration Date: 6/18/2012

iauon Date: 6/16/2012

Michael Namchek

David Lindsay	Franklin County	Net 30
DECOM OF ANTENNA	Description of Work	Total
DECON OF ANTENNAS	•	\$300.00
DECON OF DISH		\$900.00
DECON OF TOWER		\$2,100.00
DELIVERY OF ITEMS TO ANOTH	ER LOCATION	\$300.00
	Labor Total	
	Compression Compression	
	Description	Total
MOBILIZATION		\$850.00
HOTEL AND PER DIEM		\$360.00
	Other Total	\$1,210.00
	Estimated Taxes	: \$0.00
	Grand Total	<b>\$4,810.00</b>

Quotation for goods and services named.

To Accept this quotation, sign here and return:

Date:



To: Franklin County

Attn: Michael Namchek & Liz Cupples

Date: 22 March 2012

Re: Tower removal & Satellite dish/mount removal

Hi Michael and Liz,

Thanks for accommodating Scott on the site visit yesterday.

Pricing for the tower removal is as follows:

\$5450.00 not including sales tax

#### Price includes:

- Disassembly of 60' guyed tower and attached whip antennas
- Removal/haul away of tower and whip antennas to Franklin County yard for future use
- Disassembly and removal of satellite dish
- Mount to be transported to yard, satellite dish to be disposed of
- Existing transmission lines to be terminated at weather heads, removed from the tower and disposed of

#### Price excludes:

- Any work on the interior of the building
- Permits if needed

Please let us know any questions and if you would like us to schedule the work. Thank you!

Scott Wacenske Field Supervisor





Telecommunications, Inc.

March 26, 2012

Mr. Michael Namchek Franklin County VIA E-Mail

#### **Pasco Tower Demo**

#### **Quotation and Scope of Work**

- Supply labor and materials to disassemble and deliver existing 80' guyed tower, satellite dish, and skid to Franklin county yard.
- Ensure that tower stays in working condition.
- Dispose of all existing coax.

**Grand Total:** 

\$4,090.00

#### Exclusions:

This bid does not include acquisition of permits or other regulatory requirements that may be imposed by any jurisdiction. This proposal does not include any sales tax, use excise, contractors or any other taxes not specifically detailed in this proposal. This proposal relied upon verbal description of work and will be subject to revision or modification if site conditions or scope of work differ significantly.

Respectfully,

Ryan Tracy

Ryan Tracy
Project Manager
Maintenance Division
Legacy Telecommunications, Inc.

kan di karatik diki kripa di koroni in mengan dipetara di dia dia keladi diki di diki di