Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

The Honorable Board of Franklin County Commissioners met on the above date.

Present for the meeting were Rick Miller, Chairman; Bob Koch, Chair Pro Tem; and Brad Peck, Member; Fred Bowen, County Administrator; and Mary Withers, Clerk to the Board.

### PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Planning Director Jerrod MacPherson and Assistant Director Greg Wendt met with the Board.

Public Meeting: Conditional Use Permit CUP 2009-02, a Conditional Use Permit application request by Tamara Mathews. Said application is to operate a commercial wholesale garden nursery establishment in the Residential Suburban 20,000 (RS-20)

Zoning District. The site is located north of Court Street, south of Pearl Street, east of Road 48, along the west side of Road 44 (Parcel Numbers 119-121-030, 119-122-093 and 119-121-058).

Public Meeting convened at 9:31 am. Present: Commissioners Miller, Koch and Peck; County Administrator Fred Bowen; Planning Director Jerrod MacPherson; Assistant Director Greg Wendt; and Clerk to the Board Mary Withers. Present in audience: Tamara Mathews, Sue Boothe and Bridgette Scott.

Mr. Wendt reviewed the information on the Action Summary (Exhibit 1).

Mr. MacPherson showed a series of three maps on the screen. The first map is a vicinity map that was mailed to neighboring property owners. The property is comprised of three parcels. The second map is the same map with the aerial photograph overlay. The third item shown was the site plan submitted by the applicant. Mr. MacPherson pointed out the main tree growing area on the southern two parcels as well as their greenhouse. For Phase 1, they are proposing a shop in three to six months. For Phase 2, they are interested in possibly subdividing the property to create a couple of home sites for themselves. The third phase is the possibility of a second home. There is a possibility of a 12 x 24 greenhouse in the future.

Mr. Wendt said there were about eight to ten people at the Planning Commission hearing who did ask questions. No one was against the application but they were there to

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

ask questions. The City of Pasco sent written comments against the application. Public Works raised a lot of concerns about truck traffic being located on a local access road and the potential problems at the interchange of Road 44 and Court Street.

Mr. Wendt reviewed the conditions of approval. He pointed out the Public Works Department requirement for a 60 foot wide corridor as listed in Condition of Approval 3.a. He said we do ask that any structures be placed with a setback to that future corridor.

Mr. MacPherson mentioned that one of the parcels received preliminary approval for a short plat several years ago. He described the setbacks and easements that were put in place at that time in general terms. Later in the hearing, he said final approval of the short plat was not given because it had expired.

Mr. Wendt said the Planning Commission wanted a timeline to make it more of an interim use which would allow the permit to expire within seven years. At that point, if it is within the city, the applicant would come back to apply to the city, or if it remains in the county, the applicant would come back to the county to reapply.

Mr. Miller said it's a public meeting so no new testimony will be taken.

Mr. MacPherson said the commissioners can ask questions of those in the audience.

Mr. Miller asked Ms. Mathews about the amount of trucks that will be going through that area.

Tamara Mathews, 4208 West Ruby Street, Pasco. We have one truck with a 47' trailer like you'd haul a vehicle in and we make a weekly trip to Portland to pick up our plants. We offload them and organize them for the jobsites that we deliver to for commercial landscaping. We rarely have contractors who pick up their orders. We have a couple small ones. That would be seasonally, usually April to September.

Mr. Miller asked one truck a week to Portland? Ms. Mathews said yes.

In response to Mr. Miller's question about wholesale, Ms. Mathews said all of our orders come in by fax or phone. We're not drop in and shop. We're mostly a broker that we broker our plants. That's why we liked the size of this property. There is a niche market in 3- to 5-gallon size plants for our commercial application that some of the local growers here don't meet.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Koch asked the planners about the easements from streets if they extend to the west, particularly Agate Street. He said it looks like the two southern parcels are landlocked. Mr. MacPherson said two of the parcels have questionable access at this point. As far as Agate ever going through, he is not sure with the location of the existing commercial business here (indicated) and with the location of the existing structures here (indicated); that's unknown at this point. Mr. Koch asked so there never was an easement set aside for that? Mr. Wendt said no. Mr. MacPherson said when the county did the short plat, to complete the short plat the applicant would have reserved 60 foot here and 30 foot down this side (indicated) to get access to these two. In his recollection, he does not believe there was any access required at the southern portion.

Mr. Koch asked about water to the parcels. Mr. MacPherson said it is in the Franklin County Irrigation District (FCID) for irrigation water for all three parcels. They can work out the group systems to serve from wells or they can hook up to city water which is available at Road 44 if they wish to extend that.

Mr. Koch asked if Road 44 is a collector. Mr. MacPherson said it's a local access road to provide the neighborhood access to an arterial. It's the lowest level road that the county has. Mr. Koch asked about the width. Mr. MacPherson believes it's a 60' right of way but he does not know the actual width. Mr. Wendt said it is not in the original comments from the Public Works Department. He said Public Works states that "Road 44 is classified as an urban local access road and was not constructed to a standard capable of accommodating a high volume of trucks," as well as they went on to state an "increase in traffic volumes could add safety concerns at the intersection of Road 44 and Court, which lies within the city limits of Pasco."

Mr. Peck asked the planners about the public notice actions for the property owners surrounding the area. He asked if the parcels inside the red line on the screen are not owned but are being leased by the applicant. Mr. MacPherson said he believes the parcels are all owned by the same individual and it's a purchase-sale agreement at this point conditioned upon land use approval.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Peck asked if notification to the surrounding properties was done per our standard notice. Mr. MacPherson said yes, at 500' from the perimeter of everywhere that the three parcels touch. Mr. Peck asked if the notice was sent to owners of adjoining properties or the tenants or both. Mr. MacPherson said it was sent to the landowners. Mr. Peck asked if Mr. MacPherson has any sense of whether the adjoining properties are predominantly inhabited by landowners or tenants. Mr. Wendt does not know. Mr. Peck said he senses there was little or no local community opposition. Mr. Wendt said a few showed up with questions but nobody spoke against it.

Mr. Peck asked what is the status of the short plat that you mentioned? Mr. MacPherson said its preliminary approval status has expired because they have not met the conditions of the preliminary approval. Mr. Peck said so it's irrelevant in this discussion. Mr. MacPherson said yes.

Mr. Peck asked this CUP would be specifically for this commercial, i.e., not retail, nursery operation? Mr. MacPherson asked for clarification. Mr. Peck said the Action Summary says "to construct and operate a commercial wholesale nursery," but then it goes on to say that both retail and wholesale nursery operations are allowed with an approved CUP in the RS-20 zone. He said I'm just clarifying that this permit request is for a commercial wholesale, not retail? Mr. MacPherson said correct. Mr. Peck said it goes back to the issue of traffic volume on a non-arterial street.

Mr. Peck asked if any other uses would be included under the CUP besides the commercial wholesale. Mr. Wendt said two single family homes and accessory buildings.

Mr. Peck asked if the seven-year stipulation was from the Planning Department. Mr. MacPherson said we threw it out for a point of conversation. Since it's not an interim use, i.e., a lease, we had recommended a five-year review if they were going to approve it and the Planning Commission bumped it up to seven years. Mr. Peck asked if the process after seven years would be similar to this one, they would be starting over from scratch? Mr. MacPherson said correct.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Peck asked Ms. Mathews are you agreeable or okay with the seven-year condition? Ms. Mathews said that's more favorable than five. The Planning Commission was concerned about me justifying what I'm spending there, that I could recapture it in seven years better than five. It's hard to say where I'll be in seven years. We may need 20 acres.

Mr. Peck asked Ms. Mathews, you currently I understand have a similar operation somewhere else? Ms. Mathews said I bought the business from my son-in-law in Burbank and they're expanding their blueberry nursery operation so I'm being bumped out.

Mr. Peck asked what is the truck volume like with that operation now? Is it one a week? Ms. Mathews said yes, it's always been once a week. Mr. Peck said so that's not just a projection; it's actual experience in an existing operation. Ms. Mathews said right, for the last five years. Ms. Mathews said if we have really, really large orders, we have a common carrier take it directly to the job site.

Mr. Peck asked regarding the two properties at Road 44 near the entrance, do those two property owners in the northeast corner and southeast corner have any association or connection with you or your business? Ms. Mathews said no. She said the southeast property owner is a friend of ours and is thrilled that we are going in there because it's been a nuisance property. She said the landowner on the north side is Kidwells and it's a rental property.

Mr. Peck said he was surprised there wasn't more response from the neighbors.

Ms. Mathews said she went door to door prior to submitting the permit. She lives in the neighborhood. If my neighbors don't want it to be there, I don't want to be there.

Lauri Sherfey and Natalie Kinion joined the audience.

Mr. Peck said one of the points in the proposal says they will construct a home on the site within a three-year period from date of CUP approval. The way this is written, should they decide not to build a structure or do it at a later time, it doesn't in any way invalidate the permit, does it, or does it?

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. MacPherson said it was written in as part of their proposal. Mr. Peck said I don't want to put them in a bind if that becomes a condition since it's a verbatim approval. Mr. MacPherson said we put it in as a condition because it was part of their proposal.

Mr. Peck said he understands why it is in the conditions. He said if in three years they decide we don't want to build a house here after all, have they now violated the conditions? Mr. MacPherson said it depends on how you look at it. Mr. Peck asked how are you going to look at it? Mr. MacPherson said he would look at it that it is part of the proposal to make it fit in with the residential character of the area and make it blend a little bit more.

Mr. MacPherson asked Ms. Mathews are you okay with that? Ms. Mathews said I think so. I understand what you're thinking. In three years, things can change. I didn't even think of the fact that they could negate the permit if we didn't build. I hadn't considered that.

Mr. Peck said I think it's our job to try to ask questions both ways. Ms. Mathews said I had not considered that. Mr. MacPherson said if three years comes up and they're not in the mode to want to do that anymore, they can reapply to amend their CUP. Part of their proposal was presented and maybe that's one of the reasons there was no opposition was because it had a little bit more of a residential flavor to it. Mr. Peck said that's how I interpreted it and that's how I expect you did but I wasn't sure if that was clear to the applicant.

Ms. Mathews said that's our mindset is to move towards that. She asked how about the second home? Is that a requirement? Mr. Peck said yes, "construct a second home on the land within a five-year time period from the date of CUP approval." Since both of these are included in the proposal and the approval is based on it, you essentially have committed to do that.

Mr. Wendt said we've done this numerous times in the past where their proposal becomes a condition of approval. Mr. Peck said I'm not suggesting that the process is

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

flawed, just that maybe not everybody understands fully that that's a binding element of the agreement.

Mr. Miller said there is a way of fixing the problem if something should happen. Maybe it will be something you won't want to hear in three years if you don't put a house on there but you can amend it. If everything's going fine, it shouldn't be a problem, but I'm not guaranteeing that and I'm sure neither are Mr. Peck or Mr. Koch.

Mr. Miller said there is a way of fixing it in three years. It can be amended.

Mr. Peck asked as a general question: Is the addition of those structures in your mind a significant element to this plan? If there were no structures, would you see this in a significantly different light? Mr. MacPherson said our recommendation was not to approve it in the first place but I would say it adds a significant character to the proposal to blend in with the residential area. Ms. Mathews said it is our goal. My son is the one who would be building the first home.

Mr. MacPherson gave a hypothetical example. If they build a house in three years and then on the fifth year they decide not to build the second home, they come back to the Planning Commission and then the Board of County Commissioners and ask for an amendment, they'll have an operation that's been in existence for five years so we'll know whether it's working.

Mr. Peck said I'm just trying to shed light. Mr. MacPherson said I'm glad you did. Mr. Peck asked Ms. Mathews, "So you're okay?" Ms. Mathews said, "I'm okay."

Mr. Peck said we'd need to do the short plat to get two structures.

Mr. MacPherson said correct.

Mr. Peck asked for clarification of item 15. Mr. MacPherson said our special permit language within the zoning ordinance normally requires all conditions must be complied with within six months but in this case we recommended one year since it has some phases involved, excluding the three- and five-year issue. The intent is to initiate a permit. If one year from now, it still looks like it does at this time, then the permit pretty much will be null and void.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: I move we grant approval to Conditional Use Permit Application CUP 2009-02 subject to the six findings of fact and eighteen conditions. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-187.

Final Approval Short Plat SP 2008-15, Dan Voss

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I would move for final approval for Short Plat 2008-15 for applicant Dan Voss. Second by Mr. Peck. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-188.

Final Approval Short Plat SP 2008-14, Dan Voss

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: I move for final approval of Short Plat 2008-14 requested by Dan Voss. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-189.

#### WSU EXTENSION

Extension Director Lauri Sherfey and Natalie Kinion met with the Board. WSU Update

The final WSU budget is still unknown but is expected to be known by June 1. Ms. Sherfey has been told verbally that the ag position for Franklin County is intact. We are hiring three temporary people in order to meet grant obligations. We are waiting to fill one permanent position. Extension took a 20.1% hit. All Learning Centers across the state will be closed as well as the Video Production Department and Community and Rural Sociology Department, but county offices are expected to stay intact.

### <u>4-H</u>

Ms. Sherfey said Natalie Kinion is the 4-H extension educator in an E-1 position which is on a non-tenured track. We hope it will become permanent and tenured track in approximately two years. Ms. Kinion has started her master's program.

Ms. Kinion gave an update on the 4-H program. She began working in her current position in February 2009. Franklin County has 767 4-H members and 200 4-H leaders. Benton and Franklin Counties combined have 1180 4-H members and 319 leaders.

4-H camp will be held June 10 through 14 at Camp Wooten.

Ms. Kinion invited the Commissioners to attend the All 4-H Picnic on Sunday, June 21, at Columbia Point Marina Park.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

The Dust Devils baseball team will have a 4-H night on Thursday, June 25.

The 4-H Teen Conference will be held June 28 through 30 at Pullman for kids and volunteers, focused on team leadership building.

The Ben Franklin Fair will be held August 25 through 29.

#### **OFFICE BUSINESS**

### Vouchers/Warrants

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: Mr. Chair, I move approval of Salary Clearing payroll in the amount of \$749,484.96; Emergency Management payroll in the amount of \$17,404.71 and Irrigation payroll in the amount of \$13,422.30, all for May 27, 2009:

Salary Clearing Payroll warrants 48494 through 48588 for \$195,516.50; warrants 48589 through 48600 for \$249,318.32; and Direct Deposit for \$304,650.14;

Emergency Management Payroll warrants 17794 through 17805 for \$4136.34; warrants 17806 through 17815 for \$5524.71; and Direct Deposit for \$7743.66; and Irrigation Payroll warrants 17816 through 17828 for \$8576.89; and warrants 17829 through 17836 for \$4845.41.

Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 2)

### Minutes

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve the Commissioners Proceedings for April 22, May 4, May 6, May 13 and May 18, 2009. Second by Mr. Peck. 3:0 vote in favor.

### **PUBLIC WORKS**

Assistant Engineer Guy Walters and Design Engineer Matt Mahoney met with the Board. Present in audience: Paul Stoker.

CRP 596 R170 Landslide Area: Supplemental Agreement #4 for construction funding for Phase 2 Road Realignment (Federal Economic Stimulus Funding)

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of CRP 596, R170 Landslide Supplement Agreement #4. Second by Mr. Peck. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-190.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Walters said the agreement involves \$750,000 of Federal economic stimulus money to do Phase 2 of the project. We still have Phase 3 left to do.

# Annual Title VI Report for FFY 2008

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: Mr. Chair, I move approval of Non-Discrimination Agreement Annual Report for FFY 2008 between Washington State Department of Transportation and Franklin County. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-191.

Interlocal Agreement with Kittitas County for the purpose of sharing equipment and services

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: Mr. Chair, I'd move approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between Franklin County and Kittitas County for general equipment and/or services through their Department of Public Works. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. This is Resolution 2009-198.

# Chip Seal Program

Mr. Walters said the chip seal program work started yesterday.

### Murphy Road

Mr. Walters answered Mr. Peck's questions about the condition of Murphy Road.

Mr. Mahoney said the preliminary engineering and survey work has been done to prepare for paving Murphy, McClenny and Page Roads but there is no funding at this time. They were part of the roads the county had hoped to pave, listed as part of Group 5. In the future if there are funds available to complete the paving projects, these three road projects should pretty much be able to be put right out to bid.

### Federal Economic Stimulus Funds

There was general discussion about where the Federal economic stimulus road funds went.

### Road 100

Mr. Mahoney said he understands Chief Civil Deputy Prosecutor Ryan Verhulp sent a final letter to landowners or their attorney regarding Road 100 right-of-way.

Recessed at 10:32 am.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

**Reconvened** at 10:38 am.

### GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT AREA (GWMA)

GWMA Coordinator Paul Stoker met with the Board.

### **GWMA Update**

Mr. Stoker gave the Board some CD copies of scientific reports. He said we're under budget.

# New \$2.5 million funding

Mr. Stoker asked for approval of a change in pay for himself as a contract worker for GWMA and Scott Cave as a contract worker for GWMA. The GWMA Board has already approved the changes.

He told the Board about what GWMA hopes to accomplish with the two-year funding allocation.

Mr. Stoker answered Mr. Peck's question about budgets.

Mr. Stoker said Scott Cave will be required by his contract to submit a monthly deliverable report. He explained that billing on an hourly basis has become very inefficient so a monthly amount will be paid instead.

Mr. Peck suggested to include in Mr. Cave's work scope non-compensated on-call 24/7. If at any point during the billing period his hours actually fall below a certain level, then a clause kicks in where he gets compensated for that on-call time that is normally non-compensatory and that makes up the difference in his salary. He has seen it done that way and does it that way in his own consulting business. It has been through the legal hoops and it is an accepted practice.

Mr. Stoker answered Mr. Koch's questions about the comparison information he had provided to the Board. Mr. Stoker said he works not as an employee but as a contractor so he was trying to show the expenses he incurs as part of his work for GWMA.

Mr. Stoker answered Mr. Koch's question about what type of organization GWMA is, saying RCW 90.90 gives authority for GWMAs.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Miller told Mr. Stoker I totally understand your situation. He said I don't have a problem with this at all. It's not a large increase. Mr. Peck said if the GWMA Board has already discussed and approved the changes, I would think we would want to simply acknowledge GWMA's approval and indicate no objection. Mr. Koch said I would respect their approval. Mr. Miller said I would, too.

The Board had **consensus approval** of the contractual agreement changes with GWMA staff members Scott Cave and Paul Stoker. Mr. Stoker said GWMA staff member Carol Miller is an employee of the Conservation District.

### Meeting with Senator Maria Cantwell's Staff

Mr. Stoker described what he will be telling Senator Cantwell's staff members on Friday when he meets with them. He said it is wonderful to have the second half of the irrigation project ready but it will not solve all of the groundwater problems.

# **Recharging Aquifers**

Mr. Miller asked about plans to recharge aquifers. Mr. Stoker responded in some detail, saying it is very complicated although it sounds simple.

#### **ELECTIONS**

Auditor Zona Lenhart, Elections Supervisor Diana Killian and Elections staff member Ashley Brown met with the Board.

## Precinct Boundary Revisions

Proposed precinct boundary revisions were reviewed.

Ms. Lenhart said a complete list of all legal descriptions for Precincts 1 through 93 are included with the resolution.

Ms. Lenhart answered Mr. Peck's questions about legal requirements for the revisions. She said we try to stay as far away from filing week as possible for any changes.

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Koch: I would move that we approve amending and establishing boundaries for various precincts within Franklin County as listed in Resolution 2009-192. Second by Mr. Peck.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

Mr. Peck asked who created the official boundaries for Precincts 1 through 93 and what assurance do we have that these are accurate? Ms. Lenhart said we had someone from outside of our office confirm and verify that the maps matched the legal descriptions. We asked a cartographer to read them through and make them accurate and be put in one place for mapping purposes. A few have changed due to recent boundary precinct changes and corrections but most have not changed. They are just being brought into one document. The person who did the work is the county's cartographer.

Ms. Lenhart said the main reason we made changes was because of the city's annexations.

Ms. Lenhart described some of the city's requests to split some precincts. If the county makes the splits, it would add election costs for the county.

Ms. Lenhart said we will have to adjust precincts again after the census.

### 3:0 vote in favor.

### **OFFICE BUSINESS**

Secretary Bridgette Scott met with the Board.

### Consent Agenda

<u>Motion</u> - Mr. Koch: Mr. Chairman, I would move approval of the consent agenda for May 22, 2009, as presented:

- 1. Approval of **joint Resolution 2009-193** in the matter of appointing Pablo Villarreal to the Benton-Franklin Workforce Development Council to fill Position B-7 representing Vocational Rehabilitation, with said term expiring June 30, 2012.
- 2. Approval of **Resolution 2009-194** for the Agreement for Maintenance of Lyon's Ferry Park between Port of Columbia and Franklin County, effective March 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.
- 3. Approval of **Resolution 2009-195** authorizing the destruction of six office chairs as identified on the *Franklin County Storage Salvage* form received from the WSU Extension Office, in conjunction with RCW 36.32.210 (inventory).
- 4. Approval of **Resolution 2009-196** authorizing salvaging a four-drawer locking file cabinet as identified on the *Franklin County Storage Salvage* form received

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

from the WSU Extension Office, in conjunction with RCW 36.32.210 (inventory) and authorizes the file cabinet to be reassigned to the County Clerk's Office.

5. Approval of **Resolution 2009-197** authorizing destruction of a Porta Scribe, model DYST, overhead projector, serial number 169095, as identified on the *Franklin County Storage – Salvage* form received from the WSU Extension Office, in conjunction with RCW 36.32.210 (inventory).

Second by Mr. Peck. 3:0 vote in favor.

### Vouchers/Warrants

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: Mr. Chair, I move approval of 2009 vouchers for May 27, 2009, in the total amount of \$172,313.98:

Current Expense warrants 17596 through 17666 for \$81,287.40; Veteran's Assistance warrant 17667 for \$300.00; Clerk LFO Collection Fund warrant 17668 for \$6.00; Sheriff's Narcotic Trust warrant 17669 for \$532.70; FC Capital Projects Fund warrants 17670 through 17673 for \$45,872.42; Franklin County RV Facility warrants 17674 and 17675 for \$12,323.64; Election Equipment Revolving warrants 17676 and 17677 for \$247.76; Treasurer O&M warrant 17678 for \$104.78; Sheriff/Sex Offender Grant warrant 17679 for \$728.00; Sheriff/Sex Offender Grant warrant 17680 for \$74.00; Jail Commissary warrants 17681 through 17686 for \$14,708.97; Crime Victims/Witness warrants 17687 through 17689 for \$2844.54; Law Library warrants 17690 through 17693 for \$7018.17; TRAC Renewal and Replacement warrant 17694 for \$5253.63; Veteran's Assistance warrant 17695 for \$203.13; and Current Expense warrants 17712 through 17719 for \$808.84. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor. (Exhibit 3)

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: I move approval of Franklin County Public Works vouchers for County Road Fund for payroll period ending 5/28/09 in the total amount of \$76,968.16. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor.

<u>Motion</u> – Mr. Peck: I move approval of Motor Vehicle Fund payroll, period ending 5/28/09, total amount \$11,456.92. Second by Mr. Koch. 3:0 vote in favor.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

### **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

County Administrator Fred Bowen met with the Board.

### TRIDEC

Mr. Bowen asked if the Board wants to continuing paying dues to TRIDEC. The current amount is \$3300. It would have to be taken from the Contingency line item in the Current Expense budget because it was not included in the budget. In the past, economic development funds received from Washington State Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED) have been used to pay the TRIDEC dues. He described historical use of funds received from CTED for TRIDEC to act as Franklin County's Associate Development Organization (ADO).

Mr. Koch suggested sending a letter to TRIDEC and telling them to take the dues out of the money they received. Mr. Miller and Mr. Peck agreed.

Mr. Peck would like to discuss the CTED economic development funds in more detail at another time.

### Tri-City Visitor and Convention Bureau: Franklin County Business Description

The Tri-City Visitor and Convention Bureau has requested a business description for Franklin County in 50 words or less. The Board reviewed some suggested wording. They asked Mr. Bowen to request extra time to prepare the description.

## **ABM Janitorial NW**

Mr. Bowen asked for approval to cut back on services provided to the county by ABM Janitorial NW to provide services two days a week, saving approximately \$34,000 for the rest of the year. The janitorial service will primarily clean the floors. Other cleaning will be taken care of by the county's maintenance staff. Offices may be required to empty their own wastebaskets, which they have indicated they are willing to do.

Draft Joint Resolution regarding construction of new county jail and municipal court space

Michael J. Ro joined the audience.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

In a workshop format, the Board discussed some possible provisions of a resolution regarding construction of a new county jail and municipal court that would be shared with the City of Pasco.

Adjourned at 12:30 pm.

Commissioners' Proceeding for May 27, 2009

There being no further business, the Franklin County Board of Commissioners meeting was adjourned until June 1, 2009.

	BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FRANKLIN COUNTY, WASHINGTON
	Chairman
	Chairman Pro Tem
	Member
Attest:	
Clerk to the Board	
Approved and signed June 29, 2009	